

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,828	04/27/2001	Mark O'Neill	BEE-0001	8474
7:	590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER	
Robert Platt Bell Registered Patent Attorney 8033 Washington Road			BALI, VIKKRAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22308			2623	A
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	, 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/842,828	O'NEILL, MARK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vikkram Bali	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	—· s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. r election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 09/842,828

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Automating insect identification, by Weeks et al (Blackwell Science, 1998).

With respect to claim 1, Weeks discloses an mage identification and classification system that includes a training set (see section 2.2, page 3), means for inputting an image an pose normalizer for normalizing the pose, (see section 2.2 page 2), means for comparing the images (see section 2.5 page 3) as claimed. However, he fails to disclose means for displaying, as claimed. But, as mentioned in the introduction section 1, this process requires a computer system, as known or conventionally a computer system has a monitor for displaying the GUI, therefore, one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention can obviously has the display of the images as required by the user.

With respect to claim 2, he further discloses the normalization by the vector difference, (see section 2.3 page 2) as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/842,828

Art Unit: 2623

With respect to claim 3, he further discloses, the training set of images to remove effects of rotation and scale, (see section 2.2, page 2) as claimed.

With respect to claim 4, he further discloses, region of interest, (the wing section is the region of interest, section 1) as claimed.

With respect to claim 5, it is well known in the art to simply convert he Cartesian coordinates in to the polar coordinates, because it is always easy to do calculation in ht polar coordinates for the circular or spherical geometrical objects. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to simply convert the Cartesian coordinates in to the polar coordinates to make the calculation easier.

With respect to claim 6, he further discloses, removing the rotation a scale by defining a region of interest, (see section 1, and section 2.2, the region of interest is the wings and the rotation and the scale is done for the wings image) as claimed.

With respect to claim 7, he further discloses, normalizing the region of interest, (see section 1, the region of interest is the wings of the insect, the normalization of the image is the image of wings section 2.2) as claimed.

With respect to claim 8, it is well known in the art to simply convert he Cartesian coordinates in to the polar coordinates, because it is always easy to do calculation in ht polar coordinates for the circular or spherical geometrical objects. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to simply convert the Cartesian coordinates in to the polar coordinates to make the calculation easier.

Art Unit: 2623

Claims 9-16, 17-24 and 25-32 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the rejection of claims 1-8, because claims 9-16, 17-24 and 25-32 claiming similar subject matter as claims 1-8.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikkram Bali whose telephone number is 703.305.4510. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 703.308.6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vikkram Bali /// Primary Examiner Art Unit 2623 // X

vb June 3, 2004